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THE ETYMOLOGY OF THE ROMANI QUOTATIVE \( /\text{G3B/G63/G26} /\text{G9C} \), \( /\text{G3B/G63/G37} /\text{G9C} \) 'I/YOU/HE SAID'

0. INTRODUCTION
The Welsh Romani word \( /\text{G3B/G63/G26} /\text{G9C} \) is used when a person is quoted literally, e.g. in:

\[
\text{do.you.want my shirt said. the lady yes said. the butler} \\
\text{\quad \quad \quad \quad 'Dost thou want my smock?' asked the lady. 'Yes', replied the butler' (Sampson 1926: 176; his translation)}
\]

This word seems to be virtually limited to the so-called Northern\(^1\) dialects of Romani (Bakker 1999), the language of the Roma or Gypsies, which belongs to the Indic branch of Indo-European. It is attested not only in Welsh Romani, but also in Russian Romani, Ukrainian Romani, Baltic Romani (Lev Tcherenkov, p.c.; Mānuš 1997a, 1997b) and in English Romani (Smart/Crofton 1875), in (virtually) the same form and the same function. A Kalderash dialect, of the Vlax branch, shows a similar form. In this paper we will propose an etymology for this quotative element, which we will tentatively gloss as QP, quotation particle.\(^2\)

1. FORM VARIATIONS AND FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENCES OF \( /\text{G3B/G63/G26} /\text{G9C} \) IN ENGLISH ROMANI, LATVIAN ROMANI, RUSSIAN ROMANI, WELSH ROMANI AND SERBIAN KALDERAŠ ROMANI

Both English Romani (ER), Latvian Romani (LR), Russian Romani (RR) and Welsh Romani (WR) use more than one form.

Smart/Crofton (1875) list two different forms for ENGLISH ROMANI, viz. \( /\text{G3B/G63/G26} /\text{G9C} \) and \( /\text{G3B/G63/G37} /\text{G9C} \), of which the second seems to be more frequent: \( /\text{G3B/G63/G26} /\text{G9C} \) \( \text{mé} \) 'I said' and \( /\text{G3B/G63/G37} /\text{G9C} \) \( \text{yov} \) 'he said'. The material is too scarce to deduct a functional difference between the two.

LATVIAN ROMANI uses \( /\text{G3B/G63/G26} /\text{G9C} \) \( \text{xamé} \) 'relating to one's own words' and \( /\text{G3B/G63/G37} /\text{G9C} \) \( \text{xăči} \) 'relating to someone else's words' (Mānuš 1997a: 62–63). Both are considered particles by Mānuš.

---

\(^1\) The Northern dialects comprise roughly all dialects traditionally spoken in Western Europe, from Finland and Scandinavia to Spain and Italy and from Britain to Germany and Austria. Dialects spoken in Poland, North Russia and the Baltic states are also Northern.

(2)  *me phučháva láčir*: ›Kaj tu, xamé, džása?‹  
1SG.NOM ask.PRES.1SG from.her where you QP you.go  
'I ask her where she is going' (litt.: I asked her, where – I said – are you going?)

(3)  *joj rakirž*: ›me xači dává nadjindžóm‹.  
she say.PRES.3SG 1SG.NOM QP this know.NEG.PST.1SG  
'She says she didn't know this' (litt.: She says, I – she said – did not know this)

The spoken words are quoted literally, and the particles apparently appear in the middle of the quote. They can also be repeated throughout the quote in Latvian Romani (Mánuš 1997b: 341).

(4)  *me rakiráva léske*: ›Me xamé nasóm xamé jásaí xamé dilimí.‹  
1.NOM. I.speak to.her 1.NOM QP I.am not QP such.a QP crazy.F  
sir tuné xamé dufinéna xamé mándir‹  
as.if you.PL QP think.2PL QP 1.ABL  
'I say to him: ›I am not as crazy as you think of me‹.'

(5)  *Jov rakirža mänge*: ›mò dǎd xači isì xači kaná nasvalo‹  
he.NOM say.3 to.me my.M father QP is QP now ill  
tè navidžála xamé nikáj xamé khërêstir avrî.  
and NEG.he.goes QP nowhere QP house.ABL out  
'He says to me: ›My father is ill now and he is going nowhere from the house‹.'

Welsh Romani uses xâče and xâte. The form xâte is used only once in Sampson's examples (1926: 175, see example 6 below). The form xâče is used in past and present and in singular and plural, probably in all persons. In some cases the definite articles o (masculine) and i (feminine) and the personal pronouns jov 'he', joj 'she' and jon 'they' cliticize to xâče, giving respectively xâč'o, xâč'i, xâč'ov, xâč'oi, xâč'ôn.

(6)  *pirá i stiga, ńakeš, xáte më leskë.*  
open the gate dog QP 1SG.NOM to.him  
'open the gate, dog‹, cried I to him.'

(7)  *mûri së ńölyâ, ńâce'ô ńak.*  
míne.F is DEM.F QP.ART.M Jack  
'\That one (girl) is mine‹, cried Jack.'

It is not clear what the difference in function (if there is one) is between xâče and xâte.

Russian Romani (Patkanov 1911: 122, 123, 124) has xâči, xâči-ta and xâme. The -ta suffix is probably the common Romani emphatic suffix. Some examples (spelling as in source, Sampson 1926: 176, 177, quoting Patkanov) are:
The etymology of the Romani quotative xámē, xáte 'I say/you said'  

(8) ‚ná kinen, ‚cháči-šta, ‚sivóne grén: bibachtalé avéna‹.  
NEG buy.2PL QP grey.OBL.PL horse.OBL.PL unhappy.PL they.come  
'\Do not buy grey horses,\ said he, \they will bring us ill luck.\'

(9) ‚ná-akija, ‚cháči, ‚but, mre romá.  
NEG-so QP much, my.PL Gypsy.PL  
'\Not so much, my Gypsy friends,\ quoth he.'

(10) So, chámé, za bibacht?  
what QP ?? unhappy  
'\What misfortune is this?, I wondered.'

The element xámē seems to be used for first person and xáte for third person, as in Latvia.  

Only the xâčhe and xâte forms are used as quotatives in WR, but WR also has the xámē form (Sampson 1926: 177), but it is used with a different function. In WR it is interpreted as meaning 'pretending'. In fact, there are three forms for this in WR: xámē, xoi and xâme-xoi, with apparently the same function.

(11) ‚Auá, ‚p’endóm maia xámē lakê  
yes I.spoke 1SG.EMPH PRETEND to.her  
'\Yes,\ said I jestingly to her.'

(12) Já, bá, xámē kin tî gres.  
go mate PRETEND buy the horse.OBL  
'\Go, mate, pretend to buy the horse\'

The meaning \"pretending\" may very well be a secondary development from the meaning \"I say/said\" and \"I think/thought\". The main difference between this form in WR and the others is that the quotes here are not literally. Sampson (1926: 177) suggests a link between WR xámê and the same particle in Russian Romani.

Even though the root is otherwise only attested in Northern dialects, a cognate form is apparently in use in Kalderaš Romani as well, which belongs to the Vlax branch and which shows a lot of Rumanian influence. Boretzky (1994: 269) lists a word ñâći, translated 'allegedly', for a variety of Kalderash spoken in Serbia. He does not give an etymology. It does not seem to be reported from other Kalderaš varieties (e. g. Calvet 1993; Demeter/Demeter 1990):

(13) xâçi phenel kô kadja ši.  
allegedly he.says that so is  
'\He allegedly says it is so\'

The shape of the Kalderaš word is identical and even though the meaning is slightly different, it is certainly close to both other meanings, roughly 'speaking' and 'pretending'.


The main difference between this form in WR and the others is that the quotes here are not literally. Sampson (1926: 177) suggests a link between WR xámē and the same particle in Russian Romani. Even though the root is otherwise only attested in Northern dialects, a cognate form is apparently in use in Kalderaš Romani as well, which belongs to the Vlax branch and which shows a lot of Rumanian influence. Boretzky (1994: 269) lists a word xâći, translated 'allegedly', for a variety of Kalderash spoken in Serbia. He does not give an etymology. It does not seem to be reported from other Kalderaš varieties (e. g. Calvet 1993; Demeter/Demeter 1990):

In brief, the particle (Mānuṣ) or defective verb (Sampson) xače with variants is used in direct quotes, either after the first word or constituent in the quote, or preceding or following it. It is found virtually only in Northern dialects, but not in all of them.

2. Past proposals for the etymology of xače

Despite the relative rareness of the word, the etymology of xače has been the subject of discussion of at least four earlier researchers.

Smart/Crofton (1875) suggest a link with a Rumanian Romani word hiotosaro 'to utter loud cries' and Paspatian Romani khuyázava 'to call', but these are implausible, because of form, meaning and the unlikelyhood of a Rumanian loanword in this dialect (see note 3).

Sampson (1926: 175–176) noted "etymology obscure", and he rejected the proposed Rumanian and Greek etymologies as he found them unconvincing. A derivation from English quoth is also rejected.

Gilliat-Smith (1927: 29) proposed to compare it with "Rumanian Gypsy" -iće. It is a form also found, according to Gilliat-Smith, in the Romani dialect of the "Varna sedentary sieve-makers".

Winstedt (1944) discussed the etymology of English Romani hoći 'he said'. Winstedt relates it to Rumanian iće, connecting it to Banat and Transylvanian Rumanian. In these Rumanian dialects the first vowel would be schwa-like, and /h/ or /x/ can be added in vowel-initial words. There are four reasons to object to its Rumanian origin. First, it is not documented for any form of Rumanian (as Winstedt himself admits). Second, there are otherwise no Rumanian loanwords in the Northern dialects or in Welsh Romani. Third, the word cannot be found in any Vlax dialect (those spoken by Roma which have Rumanian influence). Fourth, it is the element xa- which recurs cross-dialectally. The element -če is one of four variants: -me, -ći, -će and -te. In no case is there an i- prefix.

Neither of these etymologies is convincing. Based on the stress pattern it is most likely of Asian origin. It has final stress. The shared Romani lexicon is of Indic, Iranian, Armenian and Greek origin, and is stress-final, whereas European words have penultimate stress (see Boretzky 1989; Bakker 1997).

---

3 The Rumanian loanwords listed by Sampson (1926) are almost all general Balkan words. Nevertheless, there are a handful of words in several Northern dialects which must be from Rumanian, but these are very few.
3. AN INDIC ETymology

There is no convincing etymology of these forms yet. The broad geographical distribution makes it likely that it is to be traced down to an Asian rather than a European source.

Cross-linguistically, quotative particles are often derived from verbs meaning 'to speak'. Modern Indo-Aryan languages have two basic groups of verbal stems meaning 'to speak' that go back to the OIA (i.e. Old Indo Aryan) roots *(ā)-khyā* (Turner 1966, nr. 1040–1043) and *kath-* (Turner 1966: 2702–2708). This is indeed the clue to the etymology of Romany *xācē/xāte*. We will first discuss the shape of the word.

Romani initial /x/ in Indic roots often goes back to a OIA aspirated unvoiced velar /kh/. In some cases /x/ goes back to a consonant cluster of an unvoiced velar and a sibilant: /sibilant/-k/ or /k-[sibilant]/. The common initial OIA /ks/ for example often developed into /kh/ in Prakrit, and afterwards to /x/ in Romani. Some examples (all from Sampson 1926) are:

(14) S. *kha-* 'cavity, hole', WR *xev* 'hole';
S. *khan-* 'to dig', WR *xand-* 'to dig (up)';
S. *khura* 'hoof, claw', WR *xurr* 'hoof'.
S. *kṣāma* 'scorched', *kṣā* 'to burn', WR *xāvalī* 'embers, cinder';
S. *kṣudra* 'small, petty', WR *xureddō* 'short, small' (other dialects *xudro*).

These two sources for initial Romani /x/ are the most common ones. There is a third source for Romani /x/ in Indic roots often goes back to a OIA aspirated unvoiced velar /kh/, which was first aspirated for reasons discussed below, and then developed into /x/ in Romani. This third possibility offers a convincing clue to the etymology of *xate*. The phenomenon of aspiration of originally non-aspirated, single OIA stops appears typically in Dardic and (West-) Pahari languages, which show special developments of the feature of aspiration. Masica (1991: 203ff.) records cases of "historically unmotivated" voiced aspirates in these languages, which are independent of cases of aspiration motivated by a following /r/ or /s/ or by a lost *s + consonant in pre-OIA. This is a very common phenomenon in most New Indo-Aryan languages. Cases like Old Indo-Aryan *jānāṭi* 'knows' > Kalasha *jhon-*, Phalura *jhan-* demonstrate a peculiar aspiration of originally unaspirated initial stops in roots. A case like Old Indo Aryan *bandhati* > Lakasha *bhon-* corresponds to a devoiced variant in European Romani *phand-* (Turner 1959 [1975]: 381).

Turner (1959 [1975]: 381) analyses cases of transference of aspiration in Romani from the last consonant of a root to the first consonant, a phenomenon that had already been recognized earlier by Wackernagel in general (OIA and Greek), and by Miklosich (1878) and Sampson (1926) for Romani. Turner, however, notes mostly cases of exchange of aspiration between a voiced initial stop and a voiced non-initial aspirate. He lists a number of cases. He notes a single
case that does not fit into this pattern: Romani phuc- 'to ask', since the initial is unvoiced in Old Indo-Aryan (prechati), and the stem retains the internal aspirate. Most of the cases demonstrated something like a modified Grassmann's law, which had been noted by Allen (1957) already, the so-called "transference of aspiration" as noted above. Masica (1991: 204) provides the following example: khatā, going back to Old Indo Aryan kathā 'story'. These cases are apparently not limited to exchanges of aspiration between voiced stops. Aspiration is transferred to voiced as well as to unvoiced initials. Masica (1991: 204) gives examples for this phenomenon not only from Pahari and Dardic, but also from Konkani, down from the Southwest coast of India. Alternatively, the aspiration shift in Old Indo-Aryan kath may also be a result of interference from forms going back to the Old Indo Aryan khyā.

Taking all this evidence of historical phonology together, it is clear that Romany /xaĉe/, /xate/ correspond to the Old Indo Aryan root kath- 'to speak', i.e. kathāyatī (Turner 1966, nr. 2703) 'converses'. Derivatives of this verb exist in the Modern Indic languages, e.g. Western Hindi kahnā, Rajasthani kainō, Gujarati kahēvun. Other forms are derived from OIA (ā-)-khyā- like Lahnda ākhan, Panjabi -ākhnā. Forms in /kh/ and /k/ are mixed up in Modern Indic languages, derived from the two OIA roots.

In short, the root kath- transferred its aspiration to the initial consonant, yielding khat. Following the evidence we cannot decide whether this shift of aspiration has happened in the Prakrit ancestor of Romani or later, parallel to a similar development in other Modern Indic languages. Later the /kh/ changed into /x/ in Romani, as attested in several other words. The /t/ palatalized to /č/, a process natural enough not to warrant a special explanation. Thus, xace is an Indic root in Romani, one that has not been identified as such.

4. A SIMILAR FUNCTION IN AN INDIAN LANGUAGE?

Quotative particles are very common in the languages of India. The quotative or reported speech particles are clearly an areal phenomenon (Kāchru 1979; Masica 1991: 403), used in both the Dravidian and Indic languages. Probably under the influence of Dravidian they spread to the Indic languages. These quotative markers have a variety of forms. Many of these quotative particles are derived from a verb meaning 'to say'. This is also the case in Romani, as shown above.

Actually, we also find quotatives in India which are derived from the same verb stem kath-. A cognate of the Romani form xate word may be found for instance in a form at-

---

4 Grassman’s Law relates to the dissimilation of aspiration, especially in Old Indian and Old Greek. A root consisting of aspirate consonant – vowel – aspirate consonant loses the aspiration of the root-initial consonant. The aspiration comes up again when the aspiration of the second consonant is lost for morphological reasons (cf. Fox 1995: 258).
tested in Hindi/Urdu, where a grammatical absolutive can be a functional quotative, like Modern Standard Hindi *kahkar* or dialectal *kahke*, mentioned already in an early Hindustani grammar, in a grammar written by Benjamin Schultze of 1745 (Bhatia 1987: 53)⁵ — incidentally the same grammar that Rüdiger used around 1780 to prove that Romani is an Indic language (Rüdiger 1990). The quotatives in this variety (Dakkinī dialect) and in Romani are both used for thoughts as well as utterances. Here is one example that Bhatia gives from Schultze's study, here as *kako*:

(15) un āye kako hamē samajhe

they-OBL come-PERF.OBL.PART QP we-OBL understand-PERF.PL.PART

'We thought that he came' (from Bhatia 1987: 53, after Schultze 1745: 37)

Schultze's book gives some additional examples of this quotative particle (1745: 61).

The Romani quotative marker is of course not directly derived from Hindi-Urdu, especially since most Hindi dialects and Punjabi apparently lack it. Neither is it necessarily derived from the variety described by Schultze (1745). But the actual forms of Romani and Schultze's Hindi/Urdu are most likely cognates. They are derived from Old Indo Aryan *kath* 'to tell, relate, say' and related to the Hindi verb *kahnā* 'to say'. We have argued above that initial /x/ in Romani is a reflex of Indic /kh/, or eventually /k/.

Burton's (1849) grammar of Jataki, a language closely related to Sindhi and Punjabi, mentions the verb *akhān* 'to speak' (from OIA (*ā*)khyā-, the OIA *kath*-equivalent). It is given in its present participle forms as *akhādā* (masculine), *akhādi* (feminine) and *akhade* (masculine plural), all with the meaning 'speaking'.⁶ Forms like these may be responsible for the variety of endings found in the Romani dialects. Most of the northwestern languages of India have forms going back to Old Indo Aryan (*ā*)khyā. This further shows that at least some Indic languages have /kh/, which in many Romani words became /x/. Like the Welsh Romani forms, it shows agreement in gender with the speaker being quoted.

In this context it should be added that the OIA root *khyā* has been proposed as the etymon for another Romani word before, viz. Welsh Romani *xaíavel* and Greek Romani *ak-háliovel* 'to understand'. This word is much more divergent from the meaning of the root, even if we take into account the possible presence of a suffix -av- or -ov (see Bubenik 1995: 14–19 fo the origin of these suffixes), that it is not so convincing.

---

⁵ Bhatia (1987) gives 1744 as the publication year, but this must be an error. Both the preface and the title page of Schultze’s book are dated 1745.

⁶ Burton's Jataki work, by the way, solves another etymology. Romani *beng* 'devil' is usually related to OIA viyanga, Hindi *beng* 'frog' (cf. Sampson 1926: 32), but this is semantically unlikely. It appears that Jataki uses *beng* for 'devil' (Hancock 1998).
5. CONCLUSION

Even though the quotative is preserved in only a few dialects, its range of forms and meanings is so limited that there is no doubt that it goes back to one source. We propose that Northern Romani *xate* is of Indic origin. It is derived ultimately from the Old Indo Aryan verb *kath* (Hindi *kahnā*) meaning 'tell, relate, say', possibly with an interference from forms derived from Old Indo Aryan *khyā* 'to tell'. Its basic meaning is a quotative marker. An Indic origin is much more likely than an independent development, as the verb itself is not preserved in Romani, and quotative markers are clear areal phenomena in South Asia, otherwise rare in European languages (except in formal and written styles). In some Romani dialects the quotative particle changed its meaning to 'pretend' and 'allegedly'. Both are unsurprising extensions of the original meaning. Whether the *ince/iče* forms in Romanian and Bulgarian Romani are cognate remains to be established.

If this etymology is correct, it is yet another grammatical Indicism in Romani. Almost all of the inflectional and derivational morphology, the demonstratives, almost all quantifiers, pronouns etc. are undoubtedly of Indic origin. The quotative is both formally and functionally very close to quotatives in modern Indic languages.
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